arXiv:1101.5823v1 [math.AG] 30 Jan 2011

MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTIONS AND BETTI DIAGRAMS OF THE ALGEBRAS OF SL_2-INVARIANTS

LEONID BEDRATYUK

Abstract. For the algebras of SL_2-invariants of small homological dimension theirs minimal free graded resolutions and graded Betti diagrams calculated.

1. Let K be a field, char$K = 0$. Let V_d be $d+1$-dimensional SL_2-module of binary forms of degree d and let $V_{\boldsymbol{d}} = V_{d_1} \oplus V_{d_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{d_n}$, $\boldsymbol{d} = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$. Denote by $K[V_{\boldsymbol{d}}]^{SL_2}$ the algebra of polynomial SL_2-invariant functions on $V_{\boldsymbol{d}}$. It is well known that the algebra $\mathcal{I} := K[V_{\boldsymbol{d}}]^{SL_2}$ is finitely generated and let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$ be its minimal generating set. The measure of the intricacy of this algebra is the length of their chains of syzygies, called homological(or proective) dimension $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I}$. In [1] Popov gave a classification of the cases in which $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 10$ for a single binary form ($n = 1$) or $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 3$ for $n > 1$. Recently Brouwer and Popoviciu [2] extend the results and determine for $n = 1$ the cases with $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 100$, and for $n > 1$ those with $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 15$.

In this short notes we present results of calculations of the free minimal graded resolutions and the graded Betti diagrams for the algebras of SL_2-invariants $\mathcal{I}$ in the cases $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 8$.

2. Let $R := K[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ be positively graded by $\deg(x_i) = \deg(f_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Recall that a finite graded free resolution of $\mathcal{I}$ of length $l = \operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I}$ is an exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow F_l \longrightarrow F_{l-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $F_i = \oplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{i,j}}$, $F_0 = R$ are finitely generated graded free R-module. The image F_i is called the i-th module of syzygies of $\mathcal{I}$. Since the algebra $\mathcal{I}$ is Cohen-Macaulay then the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem implies that $l = \dim \mathcal{I} - \operatorname{tr}\deg_K \mathcal{I}$, see also [1].

The numbers $\beta_{i,j}$ are called the graded Betti numbers which can be arranged into a graded Betti diagram $\beta(\mathcal{I})$:

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	$\ldots$	l
0	1	-	-	-	$\ldots$	
1	-	$\beta_{1,1}$	$\beta_{2,1}$	$\beta_{3,1}$	$\ldots$	
2	-	$\beta_{1,2}$	$\beta_{2,2}$	$\beta_{3,2}$	$\ldots$	
3	-	$\beta_{1,3}$	$\beta_{2,3}$	$\beta_{3,3}$	$\ldots$	
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$		
j^*	-	-	-	-	$\ldots$	1

where j^* is maximal of the indexes j appears in the resolutions.

The Hilbert-Poincaré series of the algebra $\mathcal{I}$ can be recovered from the Betti numbers by

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{I}, z) = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{l} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j \beta_{i,j} z^j}{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - z^{\deg(f_i)})}$$

The inverse statement is false, see below the case $\mathcal{I} = k[2V_1 \oplus V_3]^{SL_2}$.

To calculate the minimal free resolutions we used the following algorithm:

- find a minimal generating set of the algebra of invariants $\mathcal{I}$ by using our Maple-package `SL_2 invariants`, see [3];
- find a minimal generating set of the first syzygy R-module F_1 by using CoCoA, see [4];
- find a minimal free resolution of R/F_1 by using CoCoA.

For example, let us calculate the free minimal resolution of $\mathcal{I} := k[3V_1 \otimes V_2]^{SL_2}$. By using the Maple package procedure `Min_Gen_Set_Invariants`$([1, 1, 1, 2])$ we get the following 10 elements of the minimal generating set of $\mathcal{I}$:

$$\begin{gathered} L = [-x_0u_1v_1 + x_0u_0v_2 - x_1u_0v_1 + x_1u_1v_0, -2\,u_0u_1v_1 + {u_0}^2v_2 + {u_1}^2v_0, -2\,{v_1}^2 + 2\,v_0v_2, \\ -{x_1}^2v_0 - {x_0}^2v_2 + 2\,x_0x_1v_1, -x_0u_1 + x_1u_0, y_1u_1v_0 - y_1u_0v_1 - y_0u_1v_1 + y_0u_0v_2, \\ {y_1}^2v_0 - 2\,y_0y_1v_1 + {y_0}^2v_2, -x_0y_1 + x_1y_0, -y_0u_1 + y_1u_0, x_0y_0v_2 - x_0y_1v_1 - x_1y_0v_1 + x_1y_1v_0]. \end{gathered}$$

By using CocoA commands

```
Use QQ[x[0..1],y[0..1],u[0..1],v[0..2]];
SAM := SubalgebraMap(L);K:=Ker(SAM);K;
```

we calculate the kernel J of map $\varphi : k[x_1, \ldots, x_{10}] \to \mathcal{I}, x_i \mapsto L[i]$:

`J:= Ideal(`$-x_5x_7 + x_6x_8 + x_9x_{10}, x_5x_6 - x_2x_8 - x_1x_9, -x_1x_8 + x_4x_9 + x_5x_{10}, -x_6^2 + x_2x_7 - 1/2x_3x_9^2, -x_4x_6 - 1/2x_3x_5x_8 - x_1x_{10}, -x_1x_6 - 1/2x_3x_5x_9 + x_2x_{10}, -x_1x_7 - 1/2x_3x_8x_9 + x_6x_{10}, -x_1^2 - x_2x_4 - 1/2x_3x_5^2, -x_4x_7 - 1/2x_3x_8^2 - x_{10}^2, x_2x_4x_8 + 1/2x_3x_5^2x_8 + x_1x_4x_9 + x_1x_5x_{10})$

Then by using CoCoA commands

```
Use R::=QQ[x[1..10]],Weights(3, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2, 3);
Res(R/J);
```

we get the minimal free resolution of the ring R/J :

$0 \longrightarrow R(-17) \longrightarrow R(-11)^6 \oplus R(-12)^3 \longrightarrow R(-8)^8 \oplus R(-9)^8 \longrightarrow R(-5)^3 \oplus R(-6)^6 \longrightarrow R$

Since $R/J \cong \mathcal{I}$ we may extend it to the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{I}$:

$0 \longrightarrow R(-17) \longrightarrow R(-11)^6 \oplus R(-12)^3 \longrightarrow R(-8)^8 \oplus R(-9)^8 \longrightarrow R(-5)^3 \oplus R(-6)^6 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0.$

The graded Betti diagram for $\mathcal{I}$ is as following

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	-	-	-	-
5	-	3	-	-	-
6	-	6	-	-	-
8	-	-	8	-	-
9	-	-	8	-	-
11	-	-	-	6	-
12	-	-	-	3	-
17	-	-	-	-	1

3. Below we list the minimal free graded resolution and the graded Betti diagrams of the algebras of invariants in the cases $\operatorname{hd}\mathcal{I} \leq 8$.

0.1. hd $\mathcal{I} = 0$**.** In this case we have $\mathcal{I} \in \{V_1^{SL_2}, V_2^{SL_2}, V_3^{SL_2}, V_4^{SL_2}, (2V_1)^{SL_2}, (V_1 \oplus V_2)^{SL_2}, (2V_2)^{SL_2}, (3V_1)^{SL_2}\}$ and the minimal free resolutions have the following form:

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

0.2. hd $\mathcal{I} = 1$**.** $\mathcal{I} := k[V_5]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-36) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_6]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-30) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

The above cases $d = 5, 6$ are well known classical results, see [5].

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus V_3]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-12) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus V_4]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-18) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_2 \oplus V_3]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-14) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_2 \oplus V_4]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-12) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_4 \oplus V_4]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-12) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-6) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-8) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_2 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-6) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

$\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1]^{SL_2}$,

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-4) \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0;$$

0.3. hd $\mathcal{I}=2$. $\mathcal{I}:=k[V_3\oplus V_3]^{SL_2}$,
$0\longrightarrow R(-20)\longrightarrow R(-8)\oplus R(-12)\longrightarrow R\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}\longrightarrow 0;$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
8	-	1	-
12	-	1	-
20	-	-	1

0.4. hd $\mathcal{I}=3$. $\mathcal{I}:=k[V_8]^{SL_2}$,
$0\longrightarrow R(-45)\longrightarrow R(-25)\oplus R(-26)\oplus R(-27)\oplus R(-28)\oplus R(-29)\longrightarrow R(-16)\oplus R(-17)\oplus R(-18)\oplus R(-19)\oplus R(-20)\longrightarrow R\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}\longrightarrow 0;$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3
0	1	-	-	-
16	-	1	-	-
17	-	1	-	-
18	-	1	-	-
19	-	1	-	-
20	-	1	-	-
25	-	-	1	-
26	-	-	1	-
27	-	-	1	-
28	-	-	1	-
29	-	-	1	-
45	-	-	-	1

It is result of Shioda [6].

$\mathcal{I}:=k[5V_1]^{SL_2}$,
$0\longrightarrow R(-10)\longrightarrow R(-6)^5\longrightarrow R(-4)^5\longrightarrow R\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}\longrightarrow 0;$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3
0	1	-	-	-
4	-	1	-	-
6	-	-	1	-
10	-	-	-	1

0.5. hd $\mathcal{I}=4$. $\mathcal{I}:=k[3V_1\oplus V_2]^{SL_2}$,
$0\longrightarrow R(-17)\longrightarrow R(-11)^6\oplus R(-12)^3\longrightarrow R(-8)^8\oplus R(-9)^8\longrightarrow R(-5)^3\oplus R(-6)^6\longrightarrow R\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}\longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4
0	1	-	-	-	-
5	-	3	-	-	-
6	-	6	-	-	-
8	-	-	8	-	-
9	-	-	8	-	-
11	-	-	-	6	-
12	-	-	-	3	-
17	-	-	-	-	1

0.6. hd $\mathcal{I} = 5$. $\mathcal{I} := k[V_1 \oplus 3V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$0 \longrightarrow R(-25) \longrightarrow R(-17)^6 \oplus R(-18)^4 \oplus R(-19)^4 \longrightarrow R(-13)^8 \oplus R(-14)^{12} \oplus R(-15)^{12} \oplus R(-16)^3 \longrightarrow R(-9)^3 \oplus R(-10)^{12} \oplus R(-11)^{12} \oplus R(-12)^8 \longrightarrow R(-6)^4 \oplus R(-7)^4 \oplus R(-8)^6 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	4	-	-	-	-
7	-	4	-	-	-	-
8	-	6	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	3	-	-	-
10	-	-	12	-	-	-
11	-	-	12	-	-	-
12	-	-	8	-	-	-
13	-	-	-	8	-	-
14	-	-	-	12	-	-
15	-	-	-	12	-	-
16	-	-	-	3	-	-
17	-	-	-	-	6	-
18	-	-	-	-	4	-
19	-	-	-	-	4	-
25	-	-	-	-	-	1

$\mathcal{I} := k[4V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$0 \longrightarrow R(-20) \longrightarrow R(-14)^{10} \oplus R(-15)^4 \longrightarrow R(-11)^{20} \oplus R(-12)^{15} \longrightarrow R(-8)^{15} \oplus R(-9)^{20} \longrightarrow R(-5)^4 \oplus R(-6)^{10} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	4	-	-	-	-
6	-	10	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	15	-	-	-
9	-	-	20	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	20	-	-
12	-	-	-	15	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	10	-
15	-	-	-	-	4	-
20	-	-	-	-	-	1

0.7. hd $\mathcal{I} = 6$. $\mathcal{I} := k[2V_1 \oplus 2V_2]^{SL_2}$,

$0 \longrightarrow R(-28) \longrightarrow R(-20)^6 \oplus R(-21)^8 \oplus R(-22)^6 \longrightarrow R(-16)^8 \oplus R(-17)^{24} \oplus R(-18)^{24} \oplus R(-19)^8 \longrightarrow R(-12)^3 \oplus R(-13)^{24} \oplus R(-14)^{36} \oplus R(-15)^{24} \oplus R(-16)^3 \longrightarrow R(-9)^8 \oplus R(-10)^{24} \oplus R(-11)^{24} \oplus R(-12)^8 \longrightarrow R(-6)^6 \oplus R(-7)^8 \oplus R(-8)^6 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	10	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	-	15	-	-	-	-
9	-	-	20	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
12	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	10	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	4	-	-
20	-	-	-	-	-	1	-

$\mathcal{I} := k[6V_1]^{SL_2}$,

$0 \longrightarrow R(-18) \longrightarrow R(-14)^{15} \longrightarrow R(-12)^{35} \longrightarrow R(-8)^{21} \oplus R(-10)^{21} \longrightarrow R(-6)^{35} \longrightarrow R(-4)^{15} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	-	15	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	35	-	-	-	-
8	-	-		21	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
12	-	-	-	-	35	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
18	-	-	-	-	-	-	1

0.8. hd $\mathcal{I} = 8$**.** $\mathcal{I} := k[2V_1 \oplus V_3]^{SL_2}$,

$0 \longrightarrow R(-50) \longrightarrow R(-38)^{10} \oplus R(-40)^{15} \oplus R(-42)^{10} \longrightarrow R(-32)^{20} \oplus R(-34)^{60} \oplus R(-36)^{60} \oplus R(-38)^{20} \longrightarrow R(-26)^{15} \oplus R(-28)^{90} \oplus R(-30)^{140} \oplus R(-32)^{90} \oplus R(-34)^{15} \longrightarrow R(-20)^{4} \oplus R(-22)^{60} \oplus R(-24)^{160} \oplus R(-26)^{160} \oplus R(-28)^{60} \oplus R(-30)^{4} \longrightarrow R(-16)^{15} \oplus R(-18)^{90} \oplus R(-20)^{140} \oplus R(-22)^{90} \oplus R(-24)^{15} \longrightarrow R(-12)^{20} \oplus R(-14)^{60} \oplus R(-16)^{60} \oplus R(-18)^{20} \longrightarrow R(-8)^{10} \oplus R(-10)^{15} \oplus R(-12)^{10} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0$

$-j\backslash i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	-	10	20	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	-	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	-	-	60	15	-	-	-	-	-
18	-	-	20	90	-	-	-	-	-
20	-	-	-	140	4	-	-	-	-
22	-	-	-	90	60	-	-	-	-
24	-	-	-	15	160	-	-	-	-
26	-	-	-	-	160	15	-	-	-
28	-	-	-	-	60	90	-	-	-
30	-	-	-	-	4	140	-	-	-
32	-	-	-	-	-	90	20	-	-
34	-	-	-	-	-	15	60	-	-
36	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	-	-
38	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	10	-
40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-
42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-
50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1

Conjecture. For algebras of SL_2-invariants $\mathcal{I}$ its graded Betti diagram is palindromic, i.e. $\beta_{l-i,j^*-j} = \beta_{i,j}$.

References

[1] V. Popov, Homological dimension of algebras of invariants, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 341 (1983) 157–173.

[2] A.E. Brouwer and M. Popoviciu, SL2-modules of small homological dimension, Preprint. Available at http://www.win.tue.nl/∼aeb/preprints.html

[3] L. Bedratyuk, The MAPLE package for SL_2-invariants and kernel of Weitzenböck derivations. preprint, arXiv:1101.0622.

[4] CoCoATeam, CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra. Available at http://cocoa.dima.unige.it.

[5] *Hilbert D.* Theory of algebraic invariants, Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[6] T.Shioda, On the graded ring of invariants of binary octavics// Am. J. Math. –1967.–**89**. P.1022–1046.